



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,763	,763 02/11/2002		Ramachandra Bethmangalkar	SUN-P7089	1089
45657	7590	07/19/2005	EXAMINER		INER
		RMO TRUONG &	PATEL, CHIRAG R		
AND SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. 2055 GATEWAY PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 550				2141	
SAN JOSE,	CA 95	110-1089	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/074,763	BETHMANGALKAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chirag R. Patel	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2005.							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48-59</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. "An apparatus" on line 1 in claim 56 is directed to software that is not enclosed on a computer readable medium. Claims 57-59 are non-statutory due to its dependence on claim 56. (Please reference: MPEP 2106 [R-2] Patentable Subject Matter — Computer-Related Inventions)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,763

Art Unit: 2141

Claims 48, 52 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holmberg (US 6,247,141).

As per claims 48, 52 and 56, Holmberg discloses a method implemented by a secondary server to maintain a secondary directory, comprising:

receiving notification from a primary server that a particular update has been made by the primary server to a primary directory, (Col 5 lines 65-67, Col 6 lines 1-18)

in response to the notification, making the particular update to the secondary directory such that the second directory mirrors the primary directory; (Col 5 lines 65-67, Col 6 lines 1-18)

receiving a request, from a client, to make the particular update to the secondary directory, wherein the client sends the request because the client has not received confirmation that the primary server has made the particular update to the primary directory, (Col 7 lines 21-34)

determining that the particular update cannot be made to the secondary directory because the particular update has already been made to the secondary directory as a result of receiving the notification from the primary server; and (Col 2 lines 39-43, Col 10 lines 14-17)

in response to the determination that the particular update has already been made to the secondary directory, the secondary server sending an indication to the client that the particular update was successfully made to the secondary directory. (Col 6 lines 19-28)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 49-51, 53-55 and 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmberg (US 6,247,141) in view of Bellaton et al. (US 2002/0162020).

As per claims 49-51, 53-55, and 57-59, Holmberg discloses the method of claim 48. Holmberg fails to disclose wherein the particular updates involves an add, delete or rename operation. Bellaton et al. discloses wherein said particular update involves an add operation, a delete operation or adding a rename operation. ([0021]) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to send an add operation, a delete operation or adding a rename operation in the disclosure of Holmberg. The motivation would have been to allow the update of information in the directory in the LDAP-compliant directory servers. ([0021])

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references are disclosed in the Notices of References cited page and teach numerous ways of managing a high availability lightweight directory access protocol service. A close review of these references is recommended.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag R. Patel whose telephone number is (571)272-7966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,763

Art Unit: 2141

Page 6

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER